

Universidad de Murcia

Departamento Matemáticas

### Un teorema tipo Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás para álgebras uniformes

B. Cascales

http://webs.um.es/beca

I Encuentros AF Murcia-Almeria 10 de Mayo de 2013





**6** First part: The need of the complex Urysohn type lemma.

- Our result(s) in Functional Analysis needs the lemma.
- A bit of history of the problems in FA above. People involved.

(中) (종) (종) (종) (종) (종)



**6** First part: The need of the complex Urysohn type lemma.

- Our result(s) in Functional Analysis needs the lemma.
- A bit of history of the problems in FA above. People involved.

(中) (종) (종) (종) (종) (종)

**5** Third part: Applications (by others).

#### Stay focused

**6** First part: The need of the complex Urysohn type lemma.

- Our result(s) in Functional Analysis needs the lemma.
- A bit of history of the problems in FA above. People involved.

(中) (종) (종) (종) (종) (종)

- **Second part:** Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures.
- **5** Third part: Applications (by others).

#### Stay focused

- 2 The topological ideas behind the scenes.
- **6** First part: The need of the complex Urysohn type lemma.
  - Our result(s) in Functional Analysis needs the lemma.
  - A bit of history of the problems in FA above. People involved.

(中) (종) (종) (종) (종) (종)

- **Second part:** Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures.
- **5** Third part: Applications (by others).

#### Stay focused

- **O** Co-authors.
- 2 The topological ideas behind the scenes.
- **6** First part: The need of the complex Urysohn type lemma.
  - Our result(s) in Functional Analysis needs the lemma.
  - A bit of history of the problems in FA above. People involved.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > = □

- **Second part:** Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures.
- **5** Third part: Applications (by others).

Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures Applications

Co-authors Topology behind the scenes

# **Co-authors**

B. Cascales Un teorema tipo Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás para álgebras uniform

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures Applications Co-authors Topology behind the scenes

### **Co-authors**









R. M. Aron, B. Cascales and O. Kozhushkina, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem and Asplund operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 10, 3553–3560.

B. Cascales, A. J. Guirao and V. Kadets, A Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás type theorem for uniform algebras, Advances in Mathematics 240 (2013) 370?382

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト


Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures Applications **Co-authors** Topology behind the scenes

# **Topology behind the scenes**

ヘロン ヘ週ン ヘヨン ヘヨン

Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures Applications Co-authors Topology behind the scenes

### Topology behind the scenes.



Asplund spaces: Namioka, Phelps and Stegall

Let X be a Banach space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) X is an Asplund space, *i.e.*, whenever f is a convex continuous function defined on an open convex subset U of X, the set of all points of U where f is Fréchet differentiable is a dense G<sub>δ</sub>-subset of U.
- (ii) every w\*-compact subset of (X\*, w\*) is fragmented by the norm;
- (iii) each separable subspace of X has separable dual;

(iv) X\* has the Radon-Nikodým property.


イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures Applications

To learn about

Co-authors Topology behind the scenes

# RNP in Banach spaces read



Fragmentability Google NAMIOKA



イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

æ

Presentation	Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property
Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma	A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved.
Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures	Urysohn's lemma plays its part
Applications	An idea of the proof for $\mathfrak{A}=\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$

# Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma

 
 Presentation
 Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

 Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures Applications
 A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part

 An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

### Our result(s)

#### Theorem (A. J. Guirao, V. Kadets and B. C. 2012)

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \subset C(K)$  be a uniform algebra and  $T: X \to \mathfrak{A}$  be an Asplund operator with ||T|| = 1. Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $||Tx_0|| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\widetilde{T} \in S_{L(X,\mathfrak{A})}$  satisfying that

$$\|\widetilde{T}u_0\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| \le \varepsilon$$
 and  $\|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\varepsilon$ .

#### Theorem (R. M. Aron, O. Kozhushkina and B. C. 2011)

Let  $T: X \to C(K)$  be an Asplund operator with ||T|| = 1. Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $||Tx_0|| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\widetilde{T} \in S_{L(X,C(K))}$  satisfying that

$$\|\widetilde{T}u_0\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| \le \varepsilon$$
 and  $\|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\varepsilon$ .

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### Recall that...

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Uniform algebra

**Q** Closed vector subspace  $A \subset C(K)$ , with the properties:

- the products of functions in A remains in A;
- either  $1 \in A$  or A distinguishes the points of K.
- A separates the points of K.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

### Recall that...

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Uniform algebra

- **Q** Closed vector subspace  $A \subset C(K)$ , with the properties:
  - the products of functions in A remains in A;
  - either  $1 \in A$  or A distinguishes the points of K.
  - A separates the points of K.

**2** In the real case, if A uniform algebra  $\Rightarrow A = C(K)$ .

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### Recall that...

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Uniform algebra

- **Q** Closed vector subspace  $A \subset C(K)$ , with the properties:
  - the products of functions in A remains in A;
  - either  $1 \in A$  or A distinguishes the points of K.
  - A separates the points of K.
- 2 In the real case, if A uniform algebra  $\Rightarrow A = C(K)$ .
- **③** The complex case is different: the disk algebra  $A(\mathbb{D})$ .


・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

### Asplund operators

#### Stegall, 1975

An **operator**  $T \in L(X, Y)$  is **Asplund**, if it factors through an Asplund space:



Z is Asplund;  $T_1 \in L(X, Z)$  and  $T_2 \in L(Z, Y)$ .


T Asplund operator  $\Leftrightarrow$   $T^*(B_{Y^*})$  is fragmented by the norm of  $X^*$ .

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Asplund operators

### Stegall, 1975

An **operator**  $T \in L(X, Y)$  is **Asplund**, if it factors through an Asplund space:



Z is Asplund;  $T_1 \in L(X, Z)$  and  $T_2 \in L(Z, Y)$ .

T Asplund operator  $\Leftrightarrow T^*(B_{Y^*})$  is fragmented by the norm of  $X^*$ .

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved.

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Corollary

Let  $T \in L(X, \mathfrak{A})$  weakly compact with ||T|| = 1,  $\frac{1}{2} > \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $x_0 \in S_X$  be such that

 $\|T(x_0)\|>1-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}.$ 

Theorem (A. J. Guirao, V. Kadets and B. C. 2012)

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \subset C(K)$  be a uniform algebra and  $T \colon X \to \mathfrak{A}$  be an Asplund operator with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\tilde{T} \in S_{L(X,\mathfrak{A})}$  satisfying that

 $\|\widetilde{T}u_0\|=1, \|x_0-u_0\|\leq \varepsilon$ 

and

 $\|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\varepsilon.$ 

Then there are  $u_0 \in S_X$  and  $S \in L(X, \mathfrak{A})$  weakly compact with  $\|S\| = 1$  satisfying

$$\|S(u_0)\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|T - S\| \le 2\varepsilon.$$

#### Corollary

 $(X,\mathfrak{A})$  has the BPBP for any Asplund space X and any locally compact Hausdorff topological space L ( $X = c_0(\Gamma)$ , for instance).

#### Corollary

 $(X, C_0(L))$  has the BPBP for any X and any scattered locally compact Hausdorff topological space L.

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Corollary

Theorem (A. J. Guirao, V. Kadets and B. C. 2012)

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \subset C(K)$  be a uniform algebra and  $T: X \to \mathfrak{A}$  be an Asplund operator with ||T|| = 1. Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $||Tx_0|| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\tilde{T} \in S_L(X,\mathfrak{A})$  satisfying that

$$\|\widetilde{T}u_0\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| \le \varepsilon$$

and

 $||T - \widetilde{T}|| < 2\varepsilon.$ 

Let  $T \in L(X, A(\mathbb{D}))$  weakly compact with ||T|| = 1,  $\frac{1}{2} > \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $x_0 \in S_X$  be such that

$$\|T(x_0)\|>1-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}.$$

Then there are  $u_0 \in S_X$  and  $S \in L(X, A(\mathbb{D}))$  weakly compact with ||S|| = 1 satisfying

$$\|S(u_0)\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| < \varepsilon$$
 and  $\|T - S\| \le 2\varepsilon$ .

#### Remark

The theorem applies in particular to the ideals of finite rank operators  $\mathscr{F}$ , compact operators  $\mathscr{K}$ , *p*-summing operators  $\Pi_p$  and of course to the weakly compact operators  $\mathscr{W}$  themselves. To the best of our knowledge even in the case  $\mathscr{W}(X,\mathfrak{A})$  the Bishop-Phelps property that follows is a brand new result.

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

### **Bishop-Phelps** theorem

Theorem (Bishop-Phelps, 1961)

If X is a Banach, then  $\overline{\mathbf{NAX}^*} = X^*$ .

#### A PROOF THAT EVERY BANACH SPACE IS SUBREFLEXIVE

BY ERRETT BISHOP AND R. R. PHELPS

Communicated by Mahlon M. Day, August 19, 1960

A real or complex normed space is *subreflexive* if those functionals which attain their supremum on the unit sphere S of E are normdense in  $E^*$ , i.e., if for each f in  $E^*$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist g in  $E^*$  and x in S such that |g(x)| = ||g|| and  $||f-g|| < \epsilon$ . There exist incomplete normed spaces which are not subreflexive  $[1]^1$  as well as incomplete spaces which *are* subreflexive (e.g., a dense subspace of a Hilbert space). It is evident that every reflexive Banach space is sub-

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ 

### The Bishop-Phelps property for operators

#### Definition

An operator  $T : X \to Y$  is **norm attaining** if there exists  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $||x_0|| = 1$ , such that  $||T(x_0)|| = ||T||$ .

#### Definition (Lindenstrauss)

(X, Y) has the Bishop-Phelps Property (BPp) if every operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  can be uniformly approximated by **norm attaining** operators.  (X,K) has BPp for every X Bishop-Phelps (1961);

- **2**  $\overline{\{T \in L(X; Y) : T^{**} \in NA(X^{**}; Y^{**})\}} = L(X; Y) \text{ for every pair of Banach spaces } X \text{ and } Y, \text{ Lindenstrauss (1963);}$
- X with RNP, then (X, Y) has BPp for every Y, Bourgain (1977);
- there are spaces X, Y and Z such that  $(X, C([0,1])), (Y, \ell^p) (1 and <math>(Z, L^1([0,1]))$  fail BPp, Schachermayer (1983), Gowers (1990) and Acosta (1999);
- (C(K), C(S)) has BPp for all compact spaces K, S, Johnson and Wolfe, (1979).

**(** $L^1([0,1]), L^{\infty}([0,1])$ ) has BPp, Finet-Payá (1998),

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ 

### Bollobás observation, 1970

# AN EXTENSION TO THE THEOREM OF BISHOP AND PHELPS

#### BÉLA BOLLOBÁS

Bishop and Phelps proved in [1] that every real or complex Banach space is *subreflexive*, that is the functionals (real or complex) which attain their supremum on the unit sphere of the space are dense in the dual space. We shall sharpen this result and then apply it to a problem about the numerical range of an operator.

Denote by S and S' t Corollary... the way it is oftentimes presented respectively.

**THEOREM 1.** Suppose Given  $\frac{1}{2} > \varepsilon > 0$ , if  $x_0 \in S_X$  and  $x^* \in S_{X^*}$  are such that exist  $y \in S$  and  $g \in S'$  such

$$|x^*(x_0)| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4},$$

then there are  $u_0 \in S_X$  and  $y^* \in S_{X^*}$  such that

 $|y^*(u_0)| = 1, ||x_0 - u_0|| < \varepsilon$  and  $||x^* - y^*|| < \varepsilon.$ 

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ 

### Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Property for operators

Definition: Acosta, Aron, García and Maestre, 2008

(X, Y) is said to have the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (BPBP) if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $T \in S_{L(X,Y)}$ , if  $x_0 \in S_X$  is such that

 $||T(x_0)|| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$ 

then there are  $u_0 \in S_X$ ,  $S \in S_{L(X,Y)}$  with

$$||S(u_0)|| = 1$$

and

$$\|x_0 - u_0\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|T - S\| < \varepsilon.$$

- Y has certain almost-biorthogonal system (X, Y) has BPBp any X;
- **2**  $(\ell^1, Y)$  BPBp is characterized through a condition called AHSP: it holds for Y finite dimensional, uniformly convex,  $Y = L^1(\mu)$  for a  $\sigma$ -finite measure or Y = C(K);
- there is pair (l<sup>1</sup>, X) failing BPBp, but having BPp;
- $(\ell_n^{\infty}, Y) \text{ has BPBp } Y \text{ uniformly} \\ \text{convex no hope for } c_0: \\ \eta(\varepsilon) = \eta(n, \varepsilon) \to 1 \text{ with } n \to \infty.$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ 

### Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Property for operators

Definition: Acosta, Aron, García and Maestre, 2008

(X, Y) is said to have the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (BPBP) if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $T \in S_{L(X,Y)}$ , if  $x_0 \in S_X$  is such that

 $||T(x_0)|| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$ 

then there are  $u_0 \in S_X$ ,  $S \in S_{L(X,Y)}$  with

$$\|S(u_0)\|=1$$

and

$$\|x_0-u_0\|<\varepsilon \text{ and } \|T-S\|<\varepsilon.$$

- Y has certain almost-biorthogonal system (X, Y) has BPBp any X;
- **2**  $(\ell^1, Y)$  BPBp is characterized through a condition called AHSP: it holds for Y finite dimensional, uniformly convex,  $Y = L^1(\mu)$  for a  $\sigma$ -finite measure or Y = C(K);
- there is pair (l<sup>1</sup>, X) failing BPBp, but having BPp;
- $(\ell_n^{\infty}, Y) \text{ has BPBp } Y \text{ uniformly} \\ \text{convex no hope for } c_0: \\ \eta(\varepsilon) = \eta(n, \varepsilon) \to 1 \text{ with } n \to \infty.$

#### PROBLEM?

No Y infinite dimensional was known s.t.  $(c_0, Y)$  has BPBP.

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

### An idea of the proof for $\mathfrak{A} = C(K)$

#### Theorem (R. M. Aron, O. Kozhushkina and B. C. 2011)

Let  $T: X \to C(K)$  be an Asplund operator with ||T|| = 1. Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $||Tx_0|| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\widetilde{T} \in S_{L(X,C(K))}$  satisfying that

 $\|\widetilde{T} u_0\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\varepsilon.$ 

**1** Topological tools provide a suitable open set  $U \subset K$ ,  $y^* \in S_{X^*}$  and  $\rho < 2\varepsilon$  with

 $1 = |y^*(u_0)| = \|u_0\| \text{ and } \|x_0 - u_0\| < \varepsilon \And \|\mathcal{T}^*(\delta_t) - y^*\| < \rho \ \forall t \in U$ 

**2** Uryshon's lemma that applied to an arbitrary  $t_0 \in U$  produces a function  $f \in C(K)$  satisfying


$$f(t_0) = \|f\|_{\infty} = 1, f(K) \subset [0,1]$$
 and  $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset U$ .

(a)  $\widetilde{T}$  is explicitly defined by  $\widetilde{T}(x)(t) = f(t) \cdot y^*(x) + (1 - f(t)) \cdot T(x)(t), x \in X, t \in K,$ 

**()** The suitability of U is used to prove that  $\|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\mathfrak{E}$ .

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

### A few words about Uryshon's lemma



## Normal spaces - Exercise for students

For a topological space T the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 T is normal.
- Orysohn's lemma holds for T.
- O Tietze's extension theorem holds for T.
- Katetov's *"sandwich"* theorem holds for *T*.
- Solution For every function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^T$  the distance to  $C_b(T)$  is given by

$$d(f, C_b(T)) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{osc}(f).$$

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

### An idea of the proof for $\mathfrak{A}=\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$

Theorem (A. J. Guirao, V. Kadets and B. C. 2012)

Let  $T: X \to A(\mathbb{D})$  be an Asplund operator with ||T|| = 1. Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $||Tx_0|| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\widetilde{T} \in S_{L(X,A(\mathbb{D}))}$  satisfying that

 $\|\widetilde{T} u_0\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\varepsilon.$ 

**①** Topological tools gives an open set  $U \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}} y^* \in S_{X^*} \& \rho < 2\varepsilon$  with

$$1 = |y^*(u_0)| = ||u_0|| \text{ and } ||x_0 - u_0|| < \varepsilon \And ||T^*(\delta_t) - y^*|| < \rho \ \forall t \in U.$$

**3** Uryshon's lemma that applied to an arbitrary  $t_0 \in U$  produces a function  $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$  satisfying

$$f(t_0) = ||f||_{\infty} = 1, f(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset [0,1] \text{ and } \operatorname{supp}(f) \subset U.$$

xplicitly defined by

 $\widetilde{T}(x)(t) = f(t) \cdot y^*(x) + (1 - f(t)) \cdot T(x)(t), x \in X, t \in \overline{\mathbb{D}},$ 

itability of U is used to prove that  $\|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2arepsilon$ .

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

### An idea of the proof for $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$

Theorem (A. J. Guirao, V. Kadets and B. C. 2012)

Let  $T: X \to A(\mathbb{D})$  be an Asplund operator with ||T|| = 1. Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $||Tx_0|| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\widetilde{T} \in S_{L(X,A(\mathbb{D}))}$  satisfying that

 $\|\widetilde{T}u_0\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| \le \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\varepsilon.$ 

**1** Topological tools gives an open set ,  $U \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $y^* \in S_{X^*}$  &  $\rho < 2\varepsilon$  with

$$|u_{0}| = |y^{*}(u_{0})| = ||u_{0}|| ext{ and } ||x_{0} - u_{0}|| < \varepsilon \& ||T^{*}(\delta_{t}) - y^{*}|| < 
ho \; orall t \in U.$$

**3** Uryshon's lemma that applied to an arbitrary  $t_0 \in U \cap \mathbb{T}$  produces a function  $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$  satisfying

 $f(t_0) = ||f||_{\infty} = 1, f(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset R_{\mathcal{E}'}$  and f small in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U$ .

3  $\widetilde{T}$  is explicitly defined by

 $\widetilde{T}(x)(t) = f(t) \cdot y^*(x) + (1 - \varepsilon')(1 - f(t)) \cdot T(x)(t)$ 

**4** The suitability of *U* is used to prove that  $||T - \tilde{T}|| < 2\varepsilon$ .

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved. Urysohn's lemma plays its part An idea of the proof for  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\mathbb{D})$ 

### An idea of the proof for $\mathfrak{A}=\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$

Theorem (A. J. Guirao, V. Kadets and B. C. 2012)

Let  $T: X \to A(\mathbb{D})$  be an Asplund operator with ||T|| = 1. Suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  are such that  $||Tx_0|| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . Then there exist  $u_0 \in S_X$  and an Asplund operator  $\widetilde{T} \in S_{L(X,A(\mathbb{D}))}$  satisfying that

 $\|\widetilde{T} u_0\| = 1, \|x_0 - u_0\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|T - \widetilde{T}\| < 2\varepsilon.$ 

**()** Topological tools gives an open set ,  $U \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $y^* \in S_{X^*}$  &  $\rho < 2\varepsilon$  with

$$1 = |y^*(u_0)| = \|u_0\| \text{ and } \|x_0 - u_0\| < \varepsilon \And \|\mathcal{T}^*(\delta_t) - y^*\| < \rho \ \forall t \in U.$$

② Uryshon's lemma that applied to an arbitrary t<sub>0</sub> ∈ U ∩ T produces a function f ∈ A(D) satisfying

$$f(t_0) = \|f\|_{\infty} = 1, f(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset R_{\mathcal{E}'} \text{ and } f \text{ small in } \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U.$$

explicitly defined by

 $\widetilde{T}(x)(t) = f(t) \cdot y^*(x) + (1 - \varepsilon')(1 - f(t)) \cdot T(x)(t)$ 

suitability of U is used to prove that  $\| extsf{T} - \widetilde{ extsf{T}} \| < 2arepsilon.$ 


(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)





Presentation	Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property
Our result(s) in functional analysis: the need of the lemma	A bit of history of the problems in FA. People involved.
Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures	Urysohn's lemma plays its part
Applications	An idea of the proof for $\mathfrak{A}=\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$

# Complex Urysohn type lemma: a few pictures

向下 イヨト イヨト









・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

Uryshon type lemma for 
$$A(\mathbb{D})$$
  
 $U \stackrel{open}{\subset} \overline{\mathbb{D}}, 1 \in U, \exists f(1) = ||f||_{\infty} = 1, f(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset R_{\varepsilon'} \text{ and } f \text{ small in } \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U,$ 




#### Uryshon type lemma for $A(\mathbb{D})$

 $U \overset{open}{\subset} \overline{\mathbb{D}}, 1 \in U, \exists f(1) = \|f\|_{\infty} = 1, f(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset R_{\mathcal{E}'} \text{ and } f \text{ small in } \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U,$ 





Uryshon type lemma for  $A(\mathbb{D})$  $U \stackrel{open}{\subset} \overline{\mathbb{D}}, 1 \in U, \exists f(1) = ||f||_{\infty} = 1, f(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset R_{\varepsilon'} \text{ and } f \text{ small in } \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U,$ 

 $z \rightarrow 1 - \sqrt{1 - z}$ 



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●





Our Uryshon type lemma is suited for calculations with a computer.

#### Why can we use the computer? Because of the provided proofs.

$$f_n(z) := \left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^n$$

$$\exists n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$$

$$f := \frac{f_{n_1} + f_{n_2} + \dots + f_{n_2}}{k}$$


*Proof.* Let us fix  $0 < \eta < \min\{\delta/6, 1/2\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > \frac{2}{\eta}$ . Let us define  $U_1 := U$ . We shall construct inductively a collection of points  $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^n$ , a decreasing finite sequence  $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^{n+1}$  of open subsets of U,  $t_j \in U_{j+1} \cap \Gamma_0$ , and functions  $\{f_j\}_{i=1}^n \subset A$ , satisfying for any  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  the following conditions:

(i)  $f_j(t_j) = 1$ . (ii)  $|f_j(t)| < \frac{\eta}{2}$  for  $t \in K \setminus U_j$ . (iii)  $|f_j(t) - 1| < \frac{\eta}{2}$  for  $t \in U_{j+1}$ .

Indeed, Lemma 2.1 allows us to find a norm one function  $f_1 \in A$  and a  $t_1 \in U_1 \cap \Gamma_0$ such that  $f_1(t_1) = 1$  and  $|f_1(t)| < \frac{\eta}{2}$  for  $t \in K \setminus U_1...$  THE PROOF GOES ON

. . .

DI1/2:1/2



• (5) AHSP.

• (6) in the Ph. dissertation by O. Kozhushkina for BPBp for  $\mathfrak{A}(K, Y)$ 

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

# Thank you

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ